

# DARKIGHT @ ARIEL, Anomalies, and Other X17 Searches



DarkLight Collaboration Meeting @TRIUMF, July 10-12, 2024

**Ross Corliss** 



- Anomalies could be resolved with a new interaction:
- Simple model: Kinetic Mixing with SM photon
  - effective coupling to SM charge
- Full search-space allows flavor-dependent coupling:
- Parameterized by coupling ( $\epsilon_f$ ) and mass

### Physics Refresher

X17 in 4He and 8Be **Nonlinearities in Atomic Isotope Shifts** (168, 170)(a) <sup>3</sup>H(p,e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)<sup>4</sup>He E<sub>0</sub>=900 keV  $u_{\beta j i} / \mu_{j i}$  (Hz·u) (170,172) (172,174) 2.4 2.6 2.8 3 174,176 16 17 0 10 18 11 12 13 14 15 + 2.9848891 + 2.3048133 + 2.3642476 + 3.1079503Invariant mass (MeV/c2)  $u_{\alpha jj}/\mu_{jj}$  (Hz·u)  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}F^{\mu\nu}F'_{\mu\nu}$  $\swarrow^{A'}$  $\gamma$  $X^{\mu}(\Sigma_f e\epsilon_f \bar{f}\gamma_{\mu} f)$ 

### **Direct Searches and Protophobia Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science**



- Kinetic mixing disfavored by existing searches via hadronic production of A'
- Flavor-dependent couplings allows 'protophobic' solution
- Purely leptonic production key aspect of expanded search for this new particle
- X17 region can be reached with low beam energy

Stony Brook University



 $A' \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$  Irreducible QED background: B<sub>i</sub>∝L (replace A' with virtual photon. no mass peak)

 $e^- + \mathrm{Ta} \rightarrow e^- + \mathrm{Ta} + A'$ 

 Combinatoric background: B<sub>c</sub>∝L<sup>2</sup> (positron from QED background, electron from elastic scatter)



### You Are Here

 $E_{A'} \simeq E_{beam} - m_{A'}$ 













### Anomalies

- ATOMKI X17 <sup>8</sup>Be GDR (arxiv:2308.06473) and <sup>12</sup>C (arxiv:2209.10795), 4He, 8Be resonance
  - and in <sup>8</sup>Be @ VNU with ATOMKI assistance (arxiv:2401.11676)
  - and in C, Cu @ JINR in reanalysis (arxiv:2311.18632)
- FNAL g-2 combined result (arxiv:2308.06230)
- KTeV π<sup>0</sup> -> e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> enhancement (arxiv:2212.06453v3)
- Neutron lifetime (PT Du et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1506 012004)
- Isotope Shifts (King Plots) (<u>arxiv:2111.01429</u>) (But recent studies match ab-initio calcs) (<u>arxiv:2403.07792</u>)
- Cosmic <sup>7</sup>Li underabundance (arxiv:1510.08858) ( $1 < M_X < 20 MeV$ , but wants  $\tau \ge 100$ s)

\* Stony Brook University



### Neutron Lifetime

- Bottle lifetime: 879.4s±0.6 (look at survival ratio)
- Beam lifetime: 888.0s±2 (count decay protons)
- Can reconcile if neutron can decay to something other than a proton with BR~1%:

$$\tau_{\text{beam}}^{-1} = \tau_{\beta}^{-1} \qquad \tau_{\text{bottle}}^{-1} = \tau_{\beta}^{-1} - \tau_{\beta}^{-1} - \tau_{\beta}^{-1} = \tau_{\beta}^{-1} - \tau_$$

- In principle mediated by light virtual boson (into some dark final state)
- But Dubbers et al. calculation of SM (e- decay) only) prediction matches the *bottle* value.

Stony Brook University



### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.02.013





## **Isotope Shifts**

- Compare atomic transition frequencies between different isotopes
- control effects due to mass and nucleus size by measuring super ratios of frequencies
- BSM electron-nucleus interactions would appear as frequency shifts beyond these effects
- 2021: Significant apparent nonlinearities might be BSM effect
- Recently: Recent Yb measurements fit well to SM nuclear deformation model. Cannot exclude all of X17 allowed region.
- But if remaining nonlinearities are all due to new physics, mass and coupling would lie between solid and dotted red





### arxiv:2403.07792

### **See backup slides for more on King Plots**

7









- ATOMKI group sees anomaly in <sup>8</sup>Be, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C
- Persists in original 5-fold and new 6-fold geometry
  - most detector angles are the same, but the resonance angle moves with species

- Very incompatible with simple kinetic mixing model
  - would have been seen in pion decay etc.

X17



FIG. 3. Invariant mass distribution derived for the 20.49 MeV transition in <sup>4</sup>He.





- Rarely, <sup>8</sup>Be will de-excite through photon/ internal pair creation (IPC)
- Detect e+e- pairs, construct spectra, verify against nuclear model
- ...and find an anomaly consistent with massive particle





arxiv:1707.09749





- Seen in 5- and 6-fold detectors
- Seen in 3 different nuclei
- Could be nuclear effects, maybe intermediate state, interference, anomalous form factor... but no clear explanation yet.

$E_p$	$\mathbf{B}_x$	Mass	Confidence
(MeV)	$\times 10^{-6}$	$(MeV/c^2)$	
1.50	1.1(6)	16.81(15)	$3\sigma$
1.70	3.3(7)	16.93(8)	$7\sigma$
1.88	3.9(7)	17.13(10)	$8\sigma$
2.10	4.9(21)	17.06(10)	$3\sigma$
Averages	3.6(3)	17.03(11)	
Previous [14]	5.8	16.70(30)	
Previous [28]	5.1	16.94(12)	
Predicted [30]	3.0		



### X17









- NLO corrections and careful interference handling? maybe, but explanation does not yet fit all observations (arxiv:2102.01127)
- EFT framework? requires unrealistic form factors for 8Be (<u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1016/j.physletb.2017.08.013)
- nuclear decay chain with  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e+e-?$  Can match rates and kinematics, but reaction 'not favoured in established nuclear models', and doesn't explain isospin structure (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2021.122143)
- exotic tetraquark? Can fit resonance, but predicts second resonance that should have been observed (arxiv:2006.01018)
- ...other exotic SM?

## Center for Frontiers X17 Through SM Effects?





- Angular momentum conservation in the different decays constrains the X17 J<sup>P</sup>
- ATOMKI measurements each consistent with axial vector
  - and consistent with each other in terms of implied couplings.



X17

axial pseudoscalar vector scalar vector 0+ 1-1+ 0-8Be **1**+→**0**+ 4He X 0-→0+ **12C** 1-→0+

adapted from Daniel Firak (SBU)





 $|g_p^A|$ 

- Axial-vector particle with mass ~17MeV tension growing between measurements (Be,He, SINDRUM, KTeV constrain it heavily, C does not fit) (arXiv:2406.08143)
- Miller and Zhang (arxiv:2008.11288): axialvector X17 should be produced in direct proton capture: <sup>7</sup>Li(p,e+e-)<sup>8</sup>Be off-resonance
- ATOMKI group sees it (with caveats): (arxiv:2205.07744)
- X17 should be visible in GDR (arxiv:2305.09066)
- ATOMKI group sees it (<u>arxiv:2308.06473</u>)



### Further X17 News







- not ATOMKI.
- re-analysis of data from Phys.Rev.C80:034001,2009
- $p+C \rightarrow \gamma \gamma + X @ 5.5 GeV/c$  (and d,p) on C,Cu, 2.7-3.8 GeV/c/n)
- Designed for eta mesons spread across arms, but can look at yy within a single arm.
- Combinatoric background from mixed events
- Signal shows up at low edge, but not 'last bin'.





### X17 at JINR

### arxiv:2311.18632





**Center for Frontiers** in Nuclear Science

### A variety of datasets, cuts, and background normalizations...





## X17 at JINR

15



- NLO effects in µµy vertex yield
  'anomalous' magnetic moment
- Measured anomalous moment is anomalously large.
- BSM physics would also appear here too (and generally have a larger effect than for electron)



## Fermilab g-2





## **Combined Result**

- New result using 2019+2020 data halves systematic uncertainties
  - and three more years of data should get another factor of 2
- Good agreement with previous measurements, 5σ tension with 2020 theory.
- But theory no longer in the main result-plot...

Stony Brook University





- 2021: Tension between predictions from Lattice and dispersive theory
  - BMW '21 ~1.5 $\sigma$  away, but with caveats, and was not used in PDG average.
- 2023: series of new, precise dispersive datasets agree with existing data
  - except CMD-3 measurement of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi + \pi - (arxiv: 2302.08834)$ , in strong

disagreement with all previous measurements.



## g-2 Theory





- Fermilab measurement expects to get another factor of 2 from remaining data
- <u>J-PARC g-2 (E34)</u> is expected to begin data taking in 2028, different systematics.
- <u>Muon g-2 Theory Initiative</u> is looking closely at lattice and dispersive results, and other theory issues
  - But no workshops since our last collaboration meeting.
- Tension between measurement and theory may go down, pushing preferred band down farther.



### g-2 Future



## A Survey of Searches

- Resonance in Nuclear Decays:
  - COPE under construction
  - MEGII 8Be ran in 2023, blinded
  - Montreal/Project X17 8Be run in 2023+, blinded
  - Melbourne TPC some prototypes, no recent news
  - New JEDI some commissioning, no recent news
  - *et al*.
- General-Purpose Accelerator: lacksquare
  - Belle II stats available. analyzing
  - FASER first prelim results now, closes from below
- LHCb stats in 2026 or so, no recent news Stony Brook University

- Dark-Photon Specific:
  - LDMX operates 2025+, closes from below. data hungry
  - MAGIX begins 2025+
  - Mu3e begins 2025+
  - NA64 can modify to target X17
  - PADME ran in 2022. blinded. analyzing
  - PRad begins in 2025
  - et al.



- COmpact Positron Electron spectrometer @ CTU Prague
- Proton beam on 7Li to produce 8Be and 4He
- Thinned Timepix3 ASICs in vacuum, MWPC+TPC in toroidal field
- No projected reach, but simulated ATOMKI peak is stark
- Under construction. TPX3 ready for beam testing, other components in earlier stages of assembly

```
Stony Brook University
```

### **TPC units assembled - but no readout yet**





### 200um Timepix3 hexagon



### \*name unclear. They called it COPE in 2021, but not in recent presentations











- Purpose-built TPC for e+e- tracking, scintillating outer radius for trigger
- proton beam + target in vacuum, 50um mylar-window beampipe
- 8Be states off Li target, many other targets envisioned.
- Simulated including multiple scattering  $--> \sigma_M \sim 100 \text{keV}$
- Proposed, some prototypes. No timeline yet.
- Stony Brook University



Dark Photon Mass  $m_{A'}$  [MeV]

### August presentation arxiv:2302.13281

Squared

Mixin





- High-acceptance, high resolution (~2°) verification of ATOMKI using DAPHNE parts, MWPCs, Scints
- Commissioning. Expected to take <sup>8</sup>Be data in 2023, but no news
- <sup>3</sup>He capture on <sup>7</sup>Li opens <sup>10</sup>B search as well (2024)
- GDR also accessible
- Stony Brook University





### (July presentation)





- Direct measurements don't reach down far enough even  $\bullet$ with full dataset. arxiv.:2012.04190
- X17-specific search (right) uses displaced vertices in  $J/\Psi$ decay
- ~200fb<sup>-1</sup> collected as of 2023, 50ab<sup>-1</sup>, expected by ~2025
- Analysis underway. Claim that existing stats enough to  $\bullet$ exhaust protophobic X17 region ( $2\varepsilon_u + \varepsilon_d < 0.1\varepsilon_u$ ).

### **Belle-II**



### arxiv.:2203.03280







- ullet
- lacksquare
- Prelim 27fb<sup>-1</sup> from 2022 run closes/double-covers low-coupling



### arXiv:2305.08665







- Upgrade for current run allows softer final states to be recorded
- 'recent advances' in trigger enable e+e- final states
- Can exhaust parameter space even with protophobic • with sufficient data, (solid blue line, 2022-2026)
- No news yet (about dark matter) in 2024... ullet



## LHCb



### arXiv:2203.07048

(P. Ilten paper (arXiv:1801.04847) allows to recast simple dark photon measurements in more complex models)











- Twin spectrometer, gas target @ MESA, 0.1MeV resolution  $\bullet$
- Projects to reach X17 anomaly region  $w/ \sim 6mo$  at design luminosit,  $\bullet$
- Direct e-X and also  $\gamma D \rightarrow e+e-pn$  bump-hunt lacksquare
- MESA still under construction
- MAGIX start-up "shortly after" beam available

### MAGIX



arxiv:2307.02181 arxiv:2402.01027

https://magix.uni-mainz.de/physics.php







- e and mu fixed target experiment (SPS)
- 2020 combined analysis covers much of original <sup>8</sup>Be
- Upgrade of WCAL and magnet makes remaining X17 accessible(arxiv:2009.02756)
- Z' search with invisible final states reports no signal in the MeV-GeV range (arxiv:2401.01708)
- Can install upgraded visible setup to exhaust X17, if PADME results encourage.



### **NA64** HCAL4 HCAL3 HCAL2 HCAL1 Magnet2 $10^{-2}$ **KLOE-2013** (Reminder: NA48 is pion-based $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ "vanilla" model and is suppressed in X17) $10^{-1}$ $10^{-2}$ - $10^{-3}$ NA48 $\tilde{S} 10^{-3}$ CCFR BaBar $NA64\mu$ NA64 $10^{-4}$ $10^{-4}$ $\Delta a_{\mu}$ favoured E141 $(\pm 2\sigma)$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$ $10^{-1}$ $10^{0}$ $m_{A'}, GeV$ $m_{Z'}$ [GeV] arxiv:2401.01708 PhysRevD.101.071101











- Main analysis looks at number of twocluster events per PoT (counting, not shape)
- X17 coupling predicts ~1% excess
- (May 2024) beam characterization using off-resonance data agrees with projections:
  - Luminosity precision of 2% absolute (<1% relative)
  - Measured beam position, momentum,  $\bullet$ energy spread, correspond to:  $\sigma_M \sim 40$  keV,  $\sigma_{\epsilon} \sim 1\%$

### PADME



arxiv:2405.07203





- Detect full e-e-e+ in fixed target e- (JLab)  $\bullet$
- Add γ-tagger, 1um Ta targets, GEM tracker planes
- Projection of  $2.3\sigma$  5.1 $\sigma$  coverage (red)
- Approved for **60** days, PAC50 (2022)
- All components under construction now
- Expecting to run in Fall, 2025

### PRad





CLAS collab. meeting 2024

arxiv.:2301.08768



## Summary

- Anomalies persist, and a conclusive explanation does not yet exist.
- X17 signals continue to appear, not all from ATOMKI group
- Many experiments are underway, some aiming to reach this region in the near future.
- In some cases, these will provide complementary coverage with DL@ARIEL

Stony Brook University

• "This [area] is exciting and timely. The measurement/search is needed, and it will receive significant attention if completed before their competitors."

> Community Report: <u>https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11271-x</u> 33





## New In This Edition

- to exclude.
- 17MeV signals at VNU, JINR
- Additional analysis of ATOMKI results increases tension
- Belle reports data on tape
- COPE\* making a lot of progress
- PADME releases more details of off-resonance analysis
- PRad schedule more concrete

Stony Brook University

• Isotope shifts now don't need new physics, but are not sensitive enough





- LiF target on C backing to study <sup>8</sup>Be<sup>\*</sup>
- Double-sided Si Strip Detectors: energy loss and angles for e+e-
- Plastic Scintillator: energy and veto of external events.
- Geometry chosen to focus on X17like events
  - Commissioning in 2020 at Rež tandetron, 470 and 1070keV proton beam.
  - Multiple targets to disentangle fluorine contributions to signal lacksquare
  - Proposing MeV-scale program at SPIRAL2 (France)





### **EPJ Web of Conferences 275, 01012 (Feb, 2023)**







- LCLS-II (SLAC) produces low-current, parasitic multi-GeV e<sup>-</sup> beam
- Reconstruct e<sup>-</sup> and recoil nucleus to detect invisible final states  $\bullet$

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

- They have the magnet at SLAC -- needs refurbishing
- Mainly built for search via invisibles.  $\bullet$
- Can do displaced vertices, but doesn't exhaust X17 -- even in high integrated luminosity assumption
- Starts in "2-3 years after establishing funding profile", several year run to reach full 10<sup>16</sup> e.o.t. shown in plot

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_9.jpeg)

arxiv:2203.08192

![](_page_38_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

# King Plots Explained

- Frequency difference between the same transition in two different isotopes (A, A') has two terms: Mass shift (nucleus recoil) and Field shift (nucleus size)
- 'Modified mass shift',  $\mu \delta \nu_i^{AA'}$ , divides out the mass dependence hans
- If we have two shifts (i,j) for the same isotope, we can factor out the nucleus size
- Field shift ratio and mass shift constants extracted from slope and intercept ... if it's linear
- nonlinearity=additional interaction with nucleus.

![](_page_39_Picture_7.jpeg)

 $\delta\nu^{A,A'} = k_{\rm MS} \left(\frac{1}{m_A} - \frac{1}{m_{A'}}\right) + F\delta\langle r^2 \rangle^{A,A'}$  $\mu \delta \nu_i^{AA'} = K_i + F_i \, \mu \, \delta \left\langle r_c^2 \right\rangle^{AA'}$  $\mu \delta \nu_i^{AA'} = K_i - \frac{F_i}{F_i} K_j + \frac{F_i}{F_i} \mu \, \delta \nu_j^{AA'}$ + 2956 [MHz amu <sup>42</sup>Ca<sup>+</sup> -0.7-20 -0.8 0.402 0.405

![](_page_39_Figure_9.jpeg)

### from Steffen Meyer's thesis ('17)

![](_page_39_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Nonlinear King Plots

• Yb isotope deviations from linearity, plotted as  $\pmb{\zeta}_{\pm}$ 

 $\zeta_{\pm} \equiv d_{168} - d_{170} \pm (d_{172} - d_{174})$ 

- (d<sub>168</sub> is vertical deviation from straight-line fit for frequencies of isotope pair (168,170) with the same transition pair)
- Still prefers new physics, but newer results reduce overall significance at M<sub>A</sub>>1MeV

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_7.jpeg)

### arxiv:2111.01429

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Tracking very close to target+beam ullet
- Prompt and displaced vertices for SM decay
- 107 of 180 run-days remaining.
- studying HPS<sup>2</sup> concept to reach low mass:
  - new trackers behind the magnet trade vertex resolution for mass resolution

### HPS

### **Projected Exclusion w/ Existing Data**

![](_page_41_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

- e+e- mass resonance, very low opening angles
- Plot includes 2020 data and future proposed settings -- current design can't reach X17

### APEX

10-KLOE BaBa 10<sup>-5</sup> LHCb a<sub>µ,±2</sub> of favored A1 10<sup>-6</sup> E774 10-7 N  $10^{-8}$  $10^{-2}$ 10-1 10-3  $m_{A'}$  [GeV]

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

## g-2 Lattice Issues

- Data-driven calculations suggest smaller hadronic contribution to magnetic anomaly
- Lattice QCD suggests larger, but caveats. Hence not used in PDG average

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

- 8Be 18.15 MeV 1+ -> 0+:
  - If  $J_X=0$ , then L=1 and P=-1 ==> X can be a **pseudoscalar** produced in Pwave.
  - If  $J_X=1$ , then L=0,1,2 and P=+1,-1,+1 respectively ==> X can be vector in Pwave or axial vector in S- or D-wave.
- 4He 20.21 MeV 0- -> 0+:
  - If  $J_X=0$ , then L=0 and P=-1 ==> X can be a **pseudoscalar** produced in Swave.
  - If  $J_X=1$ , then L=1 and P=+1 ==> X can be an **axial vector** in P-wave.

from Daniel Firak (SBU)

![](_page_44_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Before Fermilab 2021** 

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

- 2021 Fermilab+BNL reduces preferred band somewhat: no longer touches X17
- Some increase from LQCD and CMD-3 would move this substantially (or all the way to zero)

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Revised g-2 region

![](_page_45_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

- VEPP-2000
- Program to measure  $e^+e^-$  + hadrons
- $e+e-\rightarrow \pi+\pi$  form factor disagrees with all prior results

![](_page_46_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

## CMD-3

![](_page_46_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Other Nuclear Excitement**

- N\_Tof: Proposal at EAR2/CERN to look for X17 in <sup>3</sup>He(n, X17)<sup>4</sup>He. Peak height vs beam energy can distinguish quantum numbers
- NUCLEX: ΔE-E detectors for 12C and 8Be at INFN Legnaro: Studying 5-fold layout like ATOMKI, but 1% mass resolution. If peak does not sharpen, then it has the wrong intrinsic width to be the assumed particle. Still in early stages as of <u>Nov, 2023</u>.

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

EnvsTheta

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **PADME Resolution?**

![](_page_48_Figure_7.jpeg)