稔TRIUMF 0	Gate # F		ew Re ###	port fo	or	Do	cum	ent-	###	##
Initiation Definition	Planning		tailed desig	gn Implem	entati	on Cor	mmissio	ning	Ops	
Gate 0	Gate 1	Gate	2	Gate 3	G	ate 4A	Ga	te 4B		
Project Title:										
Gate (check more than one if combined):	0 🗆	1		2	3		4A		4B	
Project Sponsor:		•			•					
External Principal Investigator (if applicable):										
Proponent (Project Leader):										
Project Manager (if different than Proponent):										
Presenters:										
Location, Date, Time	:									
Presentation material:	Appende	d to thi	s report?	Yes			No)		
	If No, the reference material						I			

Panel members	Initials	Distribution:
Chair:		Sponsor, PI, Project Leader, Project Manager,
Review panel members:	Approval Record	Chair, Panel, Presenters, projectmanagement@triumf.ca, director@triumf.ca Add other stakeholders that should be informed. Approval Routing Document Controllers: Update the 'Approval Record' hyperlink and route to Chair & Review panel members simultaneously for Approval.

Outcome

Decision		Yes	No
Gate review accepted?			
Findings:	ŀ		
Recommendations:			
Actions	Ву	1	Date

Criteria

Gate 1 review checklist Gate 1 = End of Definition / Ready for Planning	Yes	No
Is the scope (objectives, deliverables) clearly defined?		
Top level requirements defined, including regulatory requirements? Note: Regulatory requirements may include those from Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission, WorkSafe BC, Electrical or Building Codes, or N286-12		
Preliminary costs estimated and draft budget created, including consulting with Finance? Note: Cost estimates should include considerations for inflation, currency fluctuations, taxes, shipping, customs, and risks		
Source of funding (to get to Gate 2) confirmed?		
Initial risks identified Note: Risks should include those affecting project scope, schedule, budget, operations, safety, regulatory or even impact to TRIUMF's governance		
Initial hazards identified		
Initial schedule and resources estimated, by fiscal year		
Are there lessons to learn from previous projects that can help this project? Refer to <u>Collection-25831</u> , which is a repository of lessons		
Date of next gate review	MM-	YYYY
Optional, for more complex projects	Yes	No
Draft project plan completed?		
Top level requirements released to Docushare?		
System block diagram drafted?		
Operational model released to docushare?		
Are there anticipated operating budgets impacts (e.g., resources, licensing, replacing obsolete hardware, etc)?		
Project governance structure in place (e.g., sponsor, steering committee, user advisory group)?		

Will the facility's Safety Analysis Report (SAR) need to	
be updated (check with EH&S)?	

Gate 2 review checklist Gate 2 = End of Planning / Ready for Detailed Design	Yes	No
Actions from previous review addressed?		
All released documents up-to-date?		
Budget finalized, by fiscal year, ready to be baselined? Note 1: Cost estimates should include considerations for inflation, currency fluctuations, taxes, shipping, customs. Note 2: The budget should also include contingency based on analyzing similar projects (size/complexity) or based on an assessment of the risks (impact/likelihood)		
Has external funding for this project (to Gate 4B) been confirmed with granting agency/partner?		
Has TRIUMF funding for this project (to Gate 4B) been confirmed by ALD and/or Finance?		
Account(s) created, including clarifying spending rules for TRIUMF cost centres?		
Has the technical concept been reviewed by experts and stakeholders? See note below for more details		
Hazard analysis done?		
Microsoft Project resource-loaded schedule, using TRIUMF project template and resource pool? Note: For projects that are managed by a vendor (for example, a major construction project or a major software project), has the vendor produced an acceptable equivalent?		
Is the schedule critical path understood?		
Do you have major items (>\$50k), with long lead time, that should be procured before Gate 3? If so, do you have your project sponsor's authorization to do so?		
Does your project have one or engineering designs that requires professional engineer sign-off, per TSOP-06?		
Will your project result in a change to TRIUMF's physical security? If so, have you notified Facilities?		
Is there a risk to TRUMF's cyber-security? If so, have you notified IS&T?		

Will your project result in a change to radiation shielding configuration? If so, have you consulted with the Radiation Safety Officer?Image: Consulted with the Radiation Safety Officer?Do you need space at TRIUMF, and if so have you consulted with the Space Committee?Image: Consulted with the Space Committee?Top risks identified, with credible mitigation actions?Image: Consulted with the schedule?Ready to baseline the schedule?Image: Consulted with the projectsOptional, for more complex projectsYesFor CFI projects: did the project successfully pass a TRIUMF review of its CFI funding application?Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) identified and defined?Have estimates for budget and schedule considered adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there sufficient contingency in the budget and the schedule?Project plan released to Docushare?Hazard analysis released to Docushare?Risk registry in use?			
consulted with the Space Committee?Top risks identified, with credible mitigation actions?Ready to baseline the schedule?Optional, for more complex projectsYesNoFor CFI projects: did the project successfully pass a TRIUMF review of its CFI funding application?Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) identified and defined?Have estimates for budget and schedule considered adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there sufficient contingency in the budget and the schedule?Project plan released to Docushare?Hazard analysis released to Docushare?	shielding configuration? If so, have you consulted with		
Ready to baseline the schedule? □ Optional, for more complex projects Yes No For CFI projects: did the project successfully pass a TRIUMF review of its CFI funding application? □ Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) identified and defined? □ □ Have estimates for budget and schedule considered adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there sufficient contingency in the budget and the schedule? □ □ Project plan released to Docushare? □ □ □			
Optional, for more complex projectsYesNoFor CFI projects: did the project successfully pass a TRIUMF review of its CFI funding application?□□Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) identified and defined?□□Have estimates for budget and schedule considered adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there sufficient contingency in the budget and the schedule?□Project plan released to Docushare?□□Hazard analysis released to Docushare?□□	Top risks identified, with credible mitigation actions?		
For CFI projects: did the project successfully pass a TRIUMF review of its CFI funding application? Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) identified and defined? Have estimates for budget and schedule considered adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there sufficient contingency in the budget and the schedule? Project plan released to Docushare? Hazard analysis released to Docushare? Image: Content of the project plan released to Docushare? 	Ready to baseline the schedule?		
TRIUMF review of its CFI funding application? Image: Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) identified and defined? Image: Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) identified and defined? Have estimates for budget and schedule considered adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there sufficient contingency in the budget and the schedule? Image: Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) Project plan released to Docushare? Image: Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) Image: Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) Hazard analysis released to Docushare? Image: Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc) Image: Critical interfaces (beam, nuclear ventilation, timing, etc)	Optional, for more complex projects	Yes	No
etc) identified and defined? Image: Construct of the second defined defined? Have estimates for budget and schedule considered adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there sufficient contingency in the budget and the schedule? Image: Construct of the schedule defined			
adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there sufficient contingency in the budget and the schedule? Image: Content of the schedule is th			
Hazard analysis released to Docushare?	adverse effects if risks get realized, i.e. is there		
	Project plan released to Docushare?		
Risk registry in use? □ □	Hazard analysis released to Docushare?		
	Risk registry in use?		

Note on Technical Concept Development and Review

Developing the technical concept, plus getting it reviewed, is useful for properly estimating the project's cost and schedule.

- 1. A pre-requisite is a released Requirements Specification document.
- 2. At this stage of the project, a technical concept can be a 3D CAD model, sketch or block diagram, supported by calculations or simulations as required. In addition, external interfaces (examples: beam, electrical power, mechanical services, safety systems, controls, etc...) should be identified.
- 3. Once a technical concept is sufficiently developed, the proponent finds a chair (e.g. project leader or sponsor), who then assembles a review panel of 3 to 5 people with relevant expertise.
- 4. At the review, the proponent shows:
 - How the proposed concept (3D model, sketch, or block diagram) can meet each requirement, including any calculations, simulations, or prototyping results
 - Listing each requirement with Yes or No compliance
 - An updated cost estimate based on the technical concept
 - An updated schedule estimate based on the effort needed to develop, build and commission the concept
- 5. After the review, the chair summarizes all comments and actions from the review panel, using template <u>Document-22597</u>, and gets the document released to Docushare with the help of a Document Controller

Gate 3 review checklist Gate 3 = End of Detailed Design / Ready for Implementation	Yes	No
Actions from previous review addressed?		

Did you receive a letter from the Director/CEO in support of your project, after your successful Gate 2? Note: This is applicable for projects who received Gate 2 after November 2021		
All released documents up-to-date?		
Commissioning plan drafted? Note: Different types of projects have different criteria and terminology for 'commissioning'		
Drawings, diagrams or schematics released by ECO?		
All Requirements Specification documents released to Docushare?		
Schedule critical path understood?		
Budget by fiscal year is updated and remaining funds enough to cover projected costs?		
Have you sent to the Procurement Department a list of all major items (>\$50k) to be purchased, with a timeframe, and agree with them on a procurement strategy for each?		
Top risks identified, with credible mitigation actions?		
Will any installation work happen during a shutdown? If so, have you notified the SAS team (sas@lists.triumf.ca)?		
Date of next gate review	MM-	YYYY
Optional, for more complex projects	Yes	No
De-commissioning plan drafted? Proponent should ensure that all hazardous materials have a plan for disposal, plus check with EH&S to determine if decommissioning for the facility or equipment is already covered in the site Preliminary Decommissioning Plan (Document-8810) or whether the PDP will need to be updated		
Integration and test plans reviewed by experts and project stakeholders (e.g. users)? Note: Different types of projects have different criteria and terminology for 'integration and test plans'		
Controls (EPICS, data acquisition, MPS, etc) specified?		
Training gap analysis done?		

The training gap analysis will reveal what the commissioning team and system users need to be trained on, for safe and effective operation	
Installation safety plan released to Docushare?	
For construction projects >\$100k in labour and material, have you notified WorkSafe BC per OHS Regulation Part 20.2?	
Risk register updated?	
Summary of lessons to learn thus far, presented at Gate review?	

Gate 4A review checklist Gate 4A = End of Implementation / Ready for Commissioning	Yes	No
Actions from previous review addressed?		
All released documents up-to-date?		
Commissioning plan released to Docushare? Note 1: Some projects, such as software projects, may not use Docushare but some other tool for commissioning plans or equivalent. Note 2: For vendor-led projects, such as major construction or software projects, the vendor may have own documentation management system.		
Microsoft Project resource-loaded schedule, using TRIUMF project template and resource pool, updated? Or an acceptable equivalent, for projects led by vendors, such as major construction or software projects.		
If any, deviation against the schedule baseline, as presented in Gate 3, presented?		
Budget remaining is enough to fund projected costs?		
Top risks identified, with credible mitigation actions?		
Date of next gate review	MM-	YYYY
Optional, for more complex projects	Yes	No
Training plans released and training performed as required?		
De-commissioning plan released to Docushare?		

Integration and test plans completed and released as records to Docushare?	
If facility SAR needed to be updated, has the updated version been released to Docushare?	
For large procurement projects, are vendor warranty responsibilities and period understood?	
For software projects, is the post go-live model defined and resources needed approved?	

Gate 4B review checklist Gate 4B = Commissioning successful / Transfer to operations	Yes	No
Actions from previous review addressed?		
All released documents up-to-date?		
Commissioning records released to Docushare?		
All drawings, diagrams, schematics updated to "as built"?		
All project spending done and accounts can be closed?		
Summary of lessons to learn emailed to project sponsor and to projectmanagement@triumf.ca, plus uploaded to Collection-25831? Note: Use template Document-162757 to summarize lessons		
Email <u>projectmanagement@triumf.ca</u> that project can be closed?		
Optional, for more complex projects	Yes	No
Training records updated?		
Are Operational manuals, maintenance procedures, and training or job aids updated??		
Manufacturer's user and maintenance manual for procured equipment or software, stored in Docushare?		
Hand-over meeting with operators and maintainers, including passing on of relevant documents and records?		
Calibration index updated?		

For software projects, is the post go-live model in place and operational, together with needed resources to support the model?	
---	--