## Tests of General Relativity

Chairs: A. Samajdar, L. Lehner Panel: M. Agathos, G. Carullo, A. Tolley, H. Witek

PAX VIII - MIT 01 Aug 2022

# LIGO Hanford

# LIGO Livingston





# VIRGO



# LIGO Hanford

# LIGO Livingston



5

# VIRGO



# LIGO Hanford

# LIGO Livingston



J.



## 00



# Outline

- Overview of where we stand and where we are headed
- Which directions **theory** points us to for modified gravity
- Setting out to explore and **model** this phenomenology
- Questions & Discussion

"The purpose of PAX-VIII is to better understand what can be done with NG observatories, and what are the factors that could limit their scientific potential. PAX is largely a discussion-based workshop with very strong involvement of participants."

• Applying this knowledge to plan further **tests** on GR; what challenges lie ahead?

## Where we are

















### **ECHOES**



### **SUPERRADIANCE**







0 1 2 3 4







# Where we are headed

- Much higher event **rates**
- High-**SNR** measurements
- Both in **inspiral** and **ringdown**/postmerger
- Larger **distances** (propagation, cosmology, astro-pop)
- Expand **frequency range** to lower and higher freqs
- Sensitivity to **non-compact-binary** observations

- ET Science Case
- CE Horizon Study











Andrew Tolley — Imperial College London

### With standard physics assumptions - two essential types:

## **UV Modifications**

No new degrees of freedom at curvature scales/length scales probed by observed gravitational sources

Low energy gravity propagated by massless spin-2 gravity, minimally coupled to matter+ dark matter

With non-standard physics assumptions

Lorentz violating - e.g. Einsten-aether, Horava-Lifshitz, cuscaton, Lorentz violating massive gravity, ghost condensate, solid EFTs

Non-locality - e.g. firewalls? Modification of horizon, gravitational echoes







To be observable scale M (massess of UV d.o.f) needs to be dangerous low!!!!!!!!

Implies existence of massive higher spins states  $s \ge 2$ , or strongly coupled physics

e.g. Sennett et al. 1912.09917



### **IR Modifications**

New light gravitationally couples states - common motivation is cosmological new physics at Hubble + intermediate scales

$$E_{IR} \sim H \quad \Lambda_N \sim \left(H^{N-1}M\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$$

New light spin-o states: Brans-Dicke/scalar-tensor, Galileon, Axion, Dilaton, String Moduli, Dbranes, Horndeski, DHOST, Dynamical GB, Dynamical Chern-Simons, Symmetron, Chameleon, f(R) theories.

New spin-1 states: Generalized Proca, Proca-Nuevo (+ Beyond), Massive Gravity, Solid EFTs. New spin-2 states: Large extra dimensions, KK modes, Braneworlds (DGP, warped gravity), Massive Gravity, Bi-gravity, String-states, strongly coupled CFTs

### Example physical effects:

- Modification of dispersion relation (mass, Lorentz violating, environmental)
- Additional polarizations (6 or even more if multiple spin-2)
- Modified radiated gravitational power, differing multipole fall off
- Gravitational Birefringence + Graviton oscillations (e.g. multiple spin-2)
- Modification of horizon geometry, multiple effective horizons
- Fifth forces, modification of orbits, equivalence principle violations
- Gravitational Black Hole Hair, Superradiance
- Environment dependent modifications (e.g. chameleon and Vainshtein screening)

## numerically!! HUGE PHYSICS POTENTIAL!!

 $\Lambda_3 = (H^2 M)^{1/3} \sim 1000 km$  $\Lambda_2 = (HM)^{1/2} \sim 1$  micron

Many IR theories have a Rich phenomenology that has barely been worked theoretically or

Tests of general relativity

# Source Modelling beyond GR

Luis/Anuradha: guiding principle for discussion: "What can we do better with 3G?"

> But: Waveform systematics *in GR* will become already become important in O5

> > My guiding principle: How do we need to improve our (beyond-GR) models to leverage precision GW measurements with 3G?

Helvi Witek – University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

See also Snowmass 2021 CF White Paper [Foucart, Laguna, Lovelace, Radice, Witek '22]



## Waveform modelling beyond GR – Techniques



Theory-specific

(post-Newtonian, numerical relativity, perturbation)

- Tests against prediction in specific theories
- Informs theory-agnostic model building
- BUT: slow and resource-intensive

Theory-agnostic (parametrized post-Newtonian/-Einsteinian, ...)

- Captures general features present in classes of beyond-GR theories
- More efficient to identify a non-GR feature
- BUT: No direct mapping to beyond-GR model



## Waveform modelling beyond GR – Status

### Extra fields

- scalar-tensor theory (Palenzuela • & Liebling '15, Gerosa et al '16, Sperhake et al '17, Rosca-Mead et al '19, '20, Mendes '21; Barausse et al '12, Shibata et al '13, Healy et al '11, Berti et al '13, Sagunski et al '17, Bezares et al '21)
- Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton (Hirschmann et al '17, Liebling '19)
- Horndeski gravity (Ripley & Pretorius '19, Bernard et al '19, Figueras & França '20, '21)
- boson stars (Liebling & Palenzuela '12, Palenzuela et al '17, Helfer et al '18, '19, '21, Bezares et al '18-'22, Alcubierre et al '19, Di Giovanni et al '21, Jaramillo et al '22...)
- axion stars and black holes • or neutron stars (Clough, Dietrich et al '18)

### Black holes and light fields ۲

### Higher curvature

- Effective field theory of gravity (Held et al '21)
- Semi-classical loop quantum gravity (Benitez et al '20)
- black holes with near-horizon fluctuations (Liebling et al '17)
- Scalar Gauss–Bonnet gravity (Benkel et al '16; Doneva et al '17-'22; Ripley & Pretorius '19, '20; Ramazanoglu '19; Dima et al '20; Hegade et al '22; HW et al '18; Okounkova '20; East & Ripley '20; '21, Silva et al '21; Elley et al '22)
- dynamical Chern-Simons gravity (Okounkova et al '17 - '19, Doneva et al '20-'21)



BBH and massive scalars [Cheng, Ficarra, HW in prep]

Lorentz violations

- Einstein-æther theory (Garfinkle et al '07; Barausse, Sarbach et al '19)
- bi-metric gravity (Torsello et al '19, Kocic et al '20)

Dynamical Chern-Simons gravity



BBH in dynamical Chern-Simons [Okounkova et al '17]



BBH in higher derivative gravity [Cayuso et al in prep]

BBH in Gauss-Bonnet [Shiralilou et al '21]

BBH in Gauss-Bonnet [Corman, East, Ripley in prep]







## Discussion items: source modelling beyond GR

- Proof-of-principle (base) calculations in some beyond –GR models ③ Construct inspiral-merger-ringdown waveforms with Phenom/EoB/...
- "We need the same accuracy as for GR to do meaningful tests" <-> "This is not feasible"
- NR Waveform catalogs parameter space coverage? Same as GR (1000s /theory)? Cornerstones for parametrized models (100 / theory)?
- How far beyond 2PN (in scalar-tensor and scalar Gauss-Bonnet)?
- What are we testing? How well do we understand our standard model GR? (eccentricity, precession, high-mass ratio environmental effects, ...) See also afternoon discussion

# TESTS POSSIBLE WITH 3G, BUT NOT WITH 2G (OR SPACE-BASED) DETECTORS? **NEW CHALLENGES ARISING?**



**European Research Council** 

Established by the European Commission





## **Gregorio Carullo**



Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation



## **BNS POST-MERGER**

- Only basic features understood. Phase transitions?
- Rewards: high curvature, ECOs more plausible at low M.
- Inspiral constrains par. space: known phenomenology per signal?
- Smoking-gun signatures for **GR/SM violations?** 
  - Echoes, also possible for ultracompact stars.
  - *t<sub>coll</sub>* exceeding upper bound?

Gregorio Carullo



15 Pani-Ferrari, 1804.01444 Breschi+, 2205.09112 - 2205.09979



## **HORIZON ABSORPTION**

- Currently, using slowly-varying perturbations. EOB: resummed  $\mathcal{F}^{H}$ Accuracy for comparable/ intermediate q?
- Eccentricity boost to this measurement?

Poisson-Chatziioannou-Yunes, 1211.1686 Cardoso+, 1701.01116 Maselli+, 1703.10612 Lai-Li, 1807.01840 Datta+, 1910.07841

Gregorio Carullo

## • Will start to be meaningfully **measurable** only by **3G** or **LISA**.





- Which effects may spoil sub-population searches (e.g. different tidal-deformabilities for different signals)? Probability of contamination?
  - Lensing distorsions, overlapping signals
- Prospects for observing ~*extremal* BHs? Rates?

  - linearities?

**Gregorio Carullo** 

• "Environmental" effects: matter (baryonic, dark, charged), third companion... Causing observable ringdown spectral instabilities?

• Light ring closer to horizon -> ringdown probes horizon physics

"Cold" system: long-lived perturbations —> development of non-

# Questions for Discussion

- How do we expect a discovery to appear? - null vs theory-agnostic vs theory-specific
  - golden binary vs cumulative effect
- How can we quantify the propagation of uncertainties from NR to models to inference?
- How do environmental factors affect our • Vacuum vs Matter: should we focus on the simplicity of BHs or the richer potential of testingGR potential? Should we be worried? NS?
- What non-CBC types or sources are promising for tests of GR? How can we prepare for such a scenario?

- How much to invest in NR campaigns for modGR? How do we pick our targets?
- Will parameter dependence hinder or boost our ability to test GR vs alternatives?

• What extremely promising extremes are there, that are worth exploring?





