# Electroweak Symmetric Ball

**Mrunal Korwar** 

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Cambridge High Energy Workshop 2022 – Phase Transitions and Topological Defects in the Early Universe



Based on work with Yang Bai, Joshua Berger, and Nicholas Orlofsky

# Introduction

- Soliton with Electroweak Symmetry completely or partially restored
- > Phenomenology: M, R,  $\langle H \rangle$



# Brief Summary



How to get it from microscopic physics?

### Dark Monopoles

> SSB  $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$  by a triplet scalar  $\langle \Phi^a \rangle \neq 0$ 

Field profiles for Monopole

$$\Phi^{a} = f\phi(r)\hat{r}^{a} \qquad A_{i}^{a} = \epsilon^{aim} \frac{1-u(r)}{gr}\hat{r}^{m}$$
$$M \approx 4\pi f/g \qquad R \approx 1/gf = 1/m_{W'}$$



Radial direction of Monopole



# Higgs-portal dark monopoles

 $\succ$  Higgs portal interaction between SM higgs and  $\Phi^a$ 

$$V(\Phi, H) = \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4} |\Phi|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\phi}^2 |\Phi|^2 + \lambda_h (H^{\dagger} H)^2 + \mu_h^2 H^{\dagger} H - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\phi h} |\Phi|^2 H^{\dagger} H + V_0$$
(Bai, MK, Orlofsky' 20)  

$$Case I: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 > 0, \lambda_{\phi h} > 0$$

$$Case I: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 > 0, \lambda_{\phi h} > 0$$

$$Case I: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} > 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_h^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi h} < 0$$

$$Case II: \ \mu_{\phi}^2 > 0, \mu_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi}^2 < 0, \lambda_{\phi}^2$$

#### Early universe formation: Can it be relic DM?

## Formation I: Kibble-Zurek + Annihilations

- $\blacktriangleright$  After thermal PT patches separated by correlation length have random direction of  $\langle \Phi^a \rangle$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Initial formation Yield depends on order of PT and  $\kappa \equiv T'/T$

$$Y(T_c) \equiv \frac{n_M}{s} \simeq \begin{cases} g_{*s}^{-1} \kappa^3 \left[ \left( \frac{T'_c}{M_{\rm pl}} \right) \ln \left( \frac{M_{\rm pl}^4}{T'_c^4} \right) \right]^3 & \text{first-order PT} \\ g_{*s}^{-1} g_{*}^{1/2} \kappa \lambda \frac{T'_c}{M_{\rm pl}} & \text{second-order PT} \end{cases}$$





> Annihilations:



# Formation II: Preheating

- $\blacktriangleright$  SSB before the end of inflation so uniform direction of  $\langle \Phi^a \rangle$  in Hubble patch
- $\succ$  Consider inflaton ( $\mathcal{I}$ ) coupling to scalar ( $\Phi^a$ )

$$V_{\mathcal{I}\Phi} \supset \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\mathcal{I}\phi} \mathcal{I}^2 |\Phi|^2$$

- ➢ Fluctuations in  $\delta \Phi^a$  until  $\delta \Phi^a \approx f$
- > At the end of preheating different sub-horizon patches have different direction of vev  $\langle \Phi^a \rangle$ , producing monopole
- Reheat dark sector to smaller temperatures, no relativistic plasma



(Kawasaki et al.' 97, Linde et al.' 98, Rajantie 2000, Bai, **MK**, Orlofsky' 20) <sup>9</sup>

### Parameter space as DM relic

 $M_{oxtimes}$  (g)



(Bai, MK, Orlofsky' 20)

10

#### Catalyzed Baryogenesis



Chem4kids.com

### Catalyzed Baryogenesis : Sakharov Conditions

Baryon number violation via EW Sphaleron

$$\Gamma_{\rm sph} \propto \exp(-E_{\rm sph}/T)$$
  $E_{\rm sph} = \frac{8\pi \langle H \rangle}{g_2}$ 

> CP violating interaction at the wall of the EWS ball

$$\mathcal{L} = y_t \overline{Q}_L \widetilde{H} \left( 1 + \eta \frac{\Phi \Phi^{\dagger}}{\Lambda^2} \right) t_R + h.c. \xrightarrow{\Phi = \phi(r)} m_t(r) = |m_t(r)| e^{i\theta(r)}$$

Local out-of-equilibrium from movement of wall w.r.t plasma same as in case of Electroweak Baryogenesis



(Bai, Berger, MK, Orlofsky' 21)

# Baryon Asymmetry

#### Diffusion equation:

CP asymmetry inside the ball contributes to sphaleron process

$$\frac{\partial n_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle CP}(\vec{x},t)}{\partial t} - D\,\nabla^2 n_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle CP}(\vec{x},t) + \Gamma\,n_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle CP}(\vec{x},t) = S(\vec{x},t)$$





Opposite sign at the front and back wall

Baryon asymmetry:

$$\frac{dN_B}{dt} \approx -\Gamma_{\rm sph} R_{\rm ball}^3 \frac{\mu_{\rm CP}}{T} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} {\rm Baryon\ asymmetry\ generated} \\ {\rm per\ ball} \end{array}$$

$$Y_B = 1.9 \times 10^{-10} f_{\rm DM} \left(\frac{R_{\rm ball}}{1 \,{\rm GeV}^{-1}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10^8 \,{\rm GeV}}{M_{\rm ball}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta\theta}{-1}\right) \left(\frac{T_i}{100 \,{\rm GeV}}\right)^2$$



#### **Direct Detection**

### **Direct Detection: Elastic Scattering**

Nucleons scatter from higgs potential well created by EWS ball



 $\sigma_{\rm N}^{\rm elastic} \approx (2.5 \times 10^{-42} \,{\rm cm}^2) \, \left(\frac{A}{131}\right)^2 \, \left(\frac{R_{\rm ball}}{10^{-3} \,{\rm GeV}^{-1}}\right)^6 \qquad \sigma \approx 2\pi R_{\rm ball}^2 \approx 10^{-27} {\rm cm}^2 \left(\frac{R_{\rm ball}}{1 \,{\rm GeV}^{-1}}\right)^2$ 

(Ponton, Bai, Jain ' 19)

Small radius

Cross-section

Large radius

### Direct Detection: Limit Plot



(Bai, MK, Orlofsky' 20)

# Multi-hit + Inelastic Scattering

#### > Multi-hit signature in detector



Single Hit (usual Particle DM)



Inelastic Scattering : Bound state formation



Multi-hit + Inelastic scattering searched at Large Volume Neutrino detectors

(Bai, Berger '19)

**Higgs potential well** 

# Search at IceCube detector

- Released energy leads to EM cascade producing Cerenkov radiation which is detected by PMT/DOM.
- Both the spatial and time info is necessary to build trigger and cuts.
- SLOP trigger:- Trigger to detect slowmoving particles like monopoles
- Dominant background is random noise from radioactive decay in the DOM



<sup>(</sup>Bai, Berger, MK '22)

### Constraints

 $M_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{g}
ight)$ 10<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup>  $10^{-4}$  $10^{-1}$ 10<sup>1</sup> Projected 90% CL limits with 10-yr 10<sup>-23</sup> runtime 0.5  $E_{
m X}=0.25\,{
m GeV}_{
m I}$ 10<sup>-24</sup> L  $\sigma_{
m inel}\,(
m cm^2)$ Probe upto few gram mass EW MDN 10<sup>-26</sup> Ο inel  $\sigma_{
m inel}^{
m N}$ 10<sup>-27</sup>  $3 \times 10^{24} \,\mathrm{GeV} \times \left(\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{0.4 \,\mathrm{GeV/cm^3}}\right) \left(\frac{A_{\mathrm{gen}}}{2 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{m^2}}\right) \left(\frac{T}{10 \,\mathrm{yrs}}\right) \\ \times \int dv_{\mathrm{X}} \, f_{\mathrm{DM}}(v_{\mathrm{X}}) \,\epsilon_{\mathrm{eff}}(v_{\mathrm{X}}, \lambda_{\mathrm{X}}, E_{\mathrm{X}}) \, \left(\frac{v_{\mathrm{X}}}{300 \,\mathrm{km/s}}\right)$  $M_X >$ 10<sup>19</sup> 10<sup>20</sup> 10<sup>22</sup> 10<sup>23</sup> 10<sup>21</sup> 10<sup>24</sup> 10<sup>25</sup>  $M_{
m X}~({
m GeV})$ Can not probe beyond few grams (Bai, Berger, MK '22)

### **Future Direction**

- New ways to get EWS ball: Changes the parameter space (radius and mass) where EWS can be formed (EWS Fermi ball?). Can we get Electroweak Symmetric Stars?
- Triggers and Searches using exp data: Neutrino detectors such as DUNE, HyperK. Search for track like signatures at these experiments
- > Astrophysical searches: Probe beyond few gram mass

### Summary : Electroweak Symmetric Ball

