Milestones, reviews, and approvals

Kate Pachal

Technical design reviews

- Since last collaboration meeting, we have been holding technical design reviews for individual systems that needed TRIUMF approval and assistance
- Purpose: make sure everything we build can be installed, maintained, powered, etc
- Reviews held so far:
 - Magnets (March 21) all TODO items complete except getting final drawings to the lab
 - Vacuum components (May 2) TODOs announced this week; mostly quite light
 - GEMs (May 29??) TODOs to follow shortly
- Still to come:
 - Triggers, maybe? Though these are designed largely by TRIUMF personnel ...
 - Shielding?
- Trying to accumulate as much documentation as possible through the summaries of these reviews. Please make sure schematics, manuals, specs, etc all get to us.

Remain of the second for the second Document-##



- **Projection** tered "planning" stage (passed Gate 1) in spring 2022
- Gate (check more 0 1 1 3 4A 4B 4B than 6 and technically put anything into the beam line, we need to have compared)Gate 4A

- Project Sponsor: Therefore we should get through 2 & 3 as soon as we can. These are not the External Report affect our schedule, but they will be part of it, and help guide Investigator (if applicable):
- **Prevalenté** Papert oving forward with 30 MeV experiment ONLY for now and will Leader): hold separate gate approvals for the 50 MeV upgrade if we get the funding **Project Manager (if** different than **Proponent**):

Gate 2 needs - basically no update since December



confirmed with granting agency/partner?

| Has TRIUME funding for this project (to Gate 4B) been confirmed by ALC and or Kinarce? | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---|
| Actorn (C) read including clarify Cratter Sin (for TRIUMF cost centres? | ce⊡De | ecemb | er for Gate 1 |
| Has the technical concept been reviewed by experts and stakeholders? See note below for more details | | | Can make the case this |
| Hazard analysis done? | | | isn't needed here; show |
| Microsoft Project resource-loaded schedule, using TRIUMF project template and resource pool? Note: For projects that are managed by a vendor (for example, a major construction project or a major software project), has the vendor produced an acceptable equivalent? | | | existing schedule Yes |
| Is the schedule critical path understood? | | | Easy to get permission |
| Do you have major items (>\$50k), with long lead time, that should be procured before Gate 3? If so, do you have your project sponsor's authorization to do so? | | | Yes, but Chris is |
| Does your project have one or engineering designs that requires professional engineer sign-off, per TSOP-06? | | | qualified to sign them off. Just need to |
| Will your project result in a change to TRIUMF's physical security? If so, have you notified Facilities? | | | communicate it. |
| Is there a risk to TRUMF's cyber-security? If so, have you notified IS&T? | | | No; and Rick is aware |
| | | ¥ | of what we're doing |
| 25 221300 Template Document-153865 Rel.16 3 of 4 | Gate Re | NO risk | |

Gate 3 needs

| | | | 🖌 Yes - done |
|---|-----|----|--|
| Gate 3 review checklist Gate 3 = End of Detailed Design / Ready for Implementation | Yes | No | |
| Actions from previous review addressed? | | | Not done but easy |
| Did you receive a letter from the Director/CEO in support of your project, after your successful Gate 2? Note: This is applicable for projects who received Gate 2 after November 2021 | | | |
| All released documents up-to-date? | | | We are finally close here, but still need |
| Commissioning plan <u>drafted?</u> Note: Different types of projects have different criteria and terminology for 'commissioning' | | | to: finish beam optics report, |
| Drawings, diagrams or schematics released by ECO? | | | release technical |
| All Requirements Specification documents released to Docushare? | | | drawings and finalise shielding |
| Schedule critical path understood? | | | plan |

Gate 3 needs

| , | F | |
|-----|----------|---|
| | | Yes - done |
| | | Not done but easy |
| _ | | |
| | | |
| Yes | No | |
| | | These might also be required. Not a bad idea to work out controls |
| | 1 | |
| | Yes | Image: Constrained state stat |

Gates summary

- Groups often do Gate 2 and 3 simultaneously I think the advantage is it means one less meeting to coordinate a review panel for, but we could also do them separately
- At last meeting we decided that we might as well do them together, so we are waiting
- Basically all Gate 2 requirements are now done
- For Gate 3, still need final engineering drawings, approved beam optics note, shielding plan (?)

Status of the CNSC license and the safety analysis report

- Unrelated to TRIUMF approvals: we have the issue of our operating license.
 - Stephi will/did give more detail but: we need to write an entire new safety analysis report for the e-hall, not just update the existing one. This is a big project.
 - Ethan's FLUKA results will be the core meat of this report, since we now cannot review the safety of the e-linac independent of DarkLight: the two are physically merged and so merged in the eyes of CNSC too
 - This CNSC license update, where we will include DarkLight, is also the license that will let us go from commissioning (no experiments allowed) to operations
- Timeline: the current e-linac commissioning license expires on October 31. Want to have the new one ready to go Nov 1. This also sets the timeline of when we can begin installation: nothing goes on the floor until we get the new license in hand.
- Result: we should plan on installation in November and December

Milestones (reviews + large timeline items)

- Roughly in order, though several things can happen in parallel
 - Start construction of magnets
 - Complete collimator requirements and start design/construction
 - Complete shielding design. Start on new SAR draft
 - Merged gate 2&3
 - Continue GEM testing, trigger construction, etc. Ship everything to TRIUMF.
 - Receive updated CNSC license
 - Gate 4a -> ready to begin installation

Discussion?